2024

Kansas Housing Resources Corporation and Kansas Department of Commerce

Language Access Plan (LAP) October 2024

Prepared by M & L Associates, Inc.

Contents

Version History
Introduction and Purpose
Limited English Proficient Individuals4
Needs Assessment4
Language Access Plan Components4
Identifying LEP Individuals Who Need Language Assistance4
Language Assistance Measures5
Staff Training5
Monitoring and Updating the Language Access Plan6
LAP Requirements for Subrecipients6
Stakeholder Collaboration6
Language Access Coordinator- Contact Information6
Four Factor Analysis
Factor 1: Number and proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or encountered by the programs9
Table 1: Top LEP Populations Over 1,000 by Primary Language Spoken
Factor 2: The Frequency with which LEP Individuals Encounter Programs10
Factor 3: The Nature and Importance of the Programs11
Factor 4: The Resources Available to KHRC, KDC, and Subrecipients and Costs11
Safe Harbor Guidelines12
Appendix A: Interpretation Services13
Table 2: TOP 3 LEP Populations, by County14

Version History

Kansas Housing Resources Corporation and Kansas Department of Commerce

Language Access Plan (LAP)

Version	Date	Page	Description
1.0	XX/XX/2024	N/A	Original Version
2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Version Policy

Version history is tracked in the table above, with notes regarding version changes. The date of each publication is also tracked in this table. The first version of this document is 1.0.

Substantive changes within this document that reflect a policy change will result in the issuance of a new version 2.0, an increase in the primary version number. Future policy changes will result in additional revision and issuance of a new primary version number.

Non-substantive changes within this document that do not affect the interpretation or applicability of the policy (such as minor editing or clarification of existing policy) will be included in minor version updates denoted by a sequential number increase after the primary version number. Such changes would result in a version number such as 2.1, 2.2, etc.

Introduction and Purpose

The Kansas Housing Resources Corporation (KHRC) and the Kansas Department of Commerce (KDC) are committed to ensuring that all residents of Kansas, regardless of their primary language, have meaningful access to programs, services, and activities. As agencies responsible for administering critical housing and economic initiatives across the state, KHRC and KDC recognize the importance of providing equitable access to individuals with Limited English Proficiency (LEP).

This Language Access Plan (LAP) has been developed in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of national origin, and Executive Order 13166, which mandates that federal agencies and recipients of federal funds take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to LEP individuals. The plan is consistent with guidance by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and outlines the strategies and procedures KHRC and KDC will use to provide language assistance and ensure that language is not a barrier to participation in housing, economic development, and community resources.

As recipients of federal funding from HUD, KHRC and KDC are required to adopt and implement a comprehensive LAP to ensure that no individual is excluded from participation or denied the benefits of these programs due to their inability to communicate effectively in English. By adopting this LAP, KHRC and KDC demonstrate a commitment to upholding federal civil rights laws and fostering an inclusive environment. While the plan was created to meet HUD requirements for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids (HOPWA), and Housing Trust Fund (HTF) funded activities, this LAP may also be utilized for other federal assistance programs implemented by KHRC, KDC, and their subrecipients.

Through this plan, KHRC and KDC aim to promote inclusiveness, transparency, and accessibility by identifying language needs, offering appropriate language assistance services, and fostering communication between staff, stakeholders, and LEP individuals. The LAP is designed to be a dynamic document that will be regularly reviewed and updated to reflect changing demographics, program priorities, and the needs of Kansas residents.

Together, KHRC and KDC are committed to enhancing the quality of life for all Kansans by ensuring that every individual has access to the information, services, and opportunities needed to thrive, regardless of their language proficiency.

Limited English Proficient Individuals

A Limited English Proficient individual (LEP) is defined as any individual who does not speak English as their primary language and who has a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English. Examples of populations that include LEP individuals who are served by KDHC and KDC, directly or through a subrecipient agency awarded HUD funding, are persons seeking housing assistance, applicants for services or funding, and members of the public seeking information on grant funded programs.

Needs Assessment

To ensure compliance with HUD's guidelines, a four-factor analysis was conducted to determine the appropriate level of language assistance required, including:

- 1. The number or proportion of LEP persons served or eligible to be served.
- 2. The frequency with which LEP individuals encounter the programs.
- 3. The nature and importance of the program, service, or activity to people's lives; and
- 4. The resources available to KHRC, KDC, and subrecipients and the overall costs.

Language Access Plan Components

The LAP helps management, staff members, and subrecipients understand their roles and responsibilities with respect to overcoming language barriers for LEP individuals. The plan includes the following components:

- 1. Identifying LEP individuals who need language assistance
- 2. Accessing language assistance services
- 3. Training staff
- 4. Providing notice to LEP persons, and
- 5. Monitoring and updating the LEP Plan

Identifying LEP Individuals Who Need Language Assistance

The measures taken to identify persons who may need language assistance include:

- Posting notices, translated into the appropriate language based upon the LEP population data in program offices to give LEP individuals the opportunity to self-identify their need for interpretation or translation services.
- Provide staff with language identification cards to assist in identifying the language interpretation services needed.
- Include staff and subrecipients in the periodic updating of information regarding interaction with LEP persons.

Language Assistance Measures

Based upon the needs assessment, KHRC and KDC will implement, and require subrecipients providing covered services to implement, the following language assistance measures:

- Interpretation Services
 - On-demand professional interpreters will be available for in-person and phone interactions for LEP individuals, primarily for Spanish.
 - Staff trained in working with LEP individuals will assess when interpretation services are necessary and arrange them promptly.
- Translation Services

Vital documents will be translated into the appropriate language based upon the LEP population data, based upon the findings in the attached Four Factor Analysis, and including:

- Program applications
- Intake/information forms
- Consent forms
- Complaint forms
- Notices of rights and responsibilities
- Notices of eligibility, denial, loss, or decrease or loss of benefits or services
- Consolidated Planning documents required for citizen participation, including public hearing notices
- Signage and Notices
 - Multilingual signage informing LEP individuals of the availability of free language assistance services will be posted in public areas, including offices and on websites.

Staff Training

KHRC and KDC are committed to training all staff, internal and subrecpient, who regularly interact with LEP individuals. The training will include:

- Procedures for identifying LEP individuals.
- How to access and provide language assistance services, including using interpreters and translating documents.
- Sensitivity and cultural competency training to better serve the diverse population of the community.
- Best practices to track the language assistance services required and provided to LEP individuals.

Monitoring and Updating the Language Access Plan

The LAP will be regularly monitored and updated as necessary to ensure the plan reflects the changing demographics and needs of LEP individuals. Updates in the American Community Survey (ACS) data are released annually and available reports on the LEP population size and language usage will be used to ensure the plan remains consistent with the strategy outlined in the plan. Additionally, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the LAP will be reviewed through feedback from clients, staff, and community partners. Updates to the LAP will occur a minimum of every two years.

LAP Requirements for Subrecipients

Subrecipients of KHRC and KDC that have been awarded federal funding are required to ensure meaningful access by LEP individuals to services through the timely provision of language assistance services at no cost to the LEP applicant or client. Language assistance services must be provided consistently in accordance with this LAP. A subrecipient that has adopted its own LAP may follow their own policy when implementing federally funded KHRC or KDC activities as long as it meets the requirements of this plan and provides timely, accurate, and effective communication to current and prospective clients.

Stakeholder Collaboration

KHRC and KDC will actively collaborate with local community-based organizations and advocacy groups to better understand the needs of LEP populations and enhance language access services. Partnerships with groups serving Spanish speaking communities will be prioritized.

Language Access Coordinator- Contact Information

LEP individuals and community partners may contact the Language Access Coordinator for assistance or to provide feedback on available language access services.

Kansas Housing Resource Corporation		
Name:	Emily Sharp	
Title:	Communications Director	
Phone:	785.217.2012	
Email: esharp@kshousingcorp.org		
Office Address:	610 S. Kansas Ave. Ste. 300, Topeka, KS 66603	

Kansas Department of Commerce		
Name:	TBD	
Title:	TBD	

Phone:	TBD
Email:	TBD
Office Address:	TBD

Kansas Housing Resources Corporation

and

Kansas Department of Commerce

Four-Factor Analysis

October 2024

KHRC and KDC conducted an assessment to determine the needs of LEP individuals who may require language assistance to access programs, services, and activities.

Four Factor Analysis

In accordance with the LAP guidance provided by HUD in federal register notice 72 FR 2732, an assessment of the need for LEP services using the following four factors was conducted:

- 1. Number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by KHRC, KDC, and their subrecipients implementing HUD-funded programs.
- 2. The frequency with which LEP individuals encounter the programs.
- 3. Nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the programs to people's lives.
- 4. Resources available and costs.

KHRC and KDC's service area for HUD-funded programs includes the entire State of Kansas and encompasses all 105 counties located in the state.

Factor 1: Number and proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or encountered by the programs

Understanding the needs of the community begins with identifying the number of LEP persons eligible to be served, likely to be served, or likely to be encountered by KHRC, KDC, or their subrecipients through its programs, services, or activities.

To determine the potential language services needs for LEP individuals, the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) data was reviewed. The ACS Five-Year estimates for 2018-2022 reported a total of 325,259 persons in the State of Kansas speak another language besides English, of which approximately 124,916 speak English "less than very well". This number of LEP individuals represents approximately 4.5% of the total population of 2,755,439 residents in 2022. The ACS data (Table B16001: Language Spoken at Home by Ability to Speak English for the Population 5 Years and Over) was used to assess the number of LEP persons that could potentially encounter KHRC or KDC-covered programs. The data showed that just over 3% of the state's population--an estimated 84,423 of LEP individuals--speak Spanish as their primary language, followed by 8,583 LEP individuals whose primary language is Vietnamese. An estimated 5,035 LEP individuals speak Chinese (including both Mandarin and Cantonese dialects). The most prevalent languages spoken by LEP individuals, with over 1,000 persons statewide by primary language spoken, are further described in Table 1.

Primary Language Spoken	Number who speak English less than very well	Percentage of Total State Population who speaks English less than very well
Spanish	84,423	3.06%
Vietnamese	8,583	0.31%
Chinese (including Mandarin, Cantonese)	5,035	0.18%
Thai, Lao, or Other Tai-Kadai Languages	2,376	0.09
Arabic	2,164	0.08%
Swahili or Other Languages of Central, Eastern, and Southern Africa	1,874	0.07%
Amharic, Somali, or other Afro-Asiatic Languages	1,866	0.07%
German	1,600	0.06%
Korean	1,206	0.04%
Nepali, Marathi, or other Indic Languages	1,130	0.04%
Tagalog	1,112	0.04%

Table 1: Top LEP Populations Over 1,000 by Primary Language Spoken

Source: ACS 2018-2022 5-Year Estimates

The ACS data was reviewed to determine the prevalence of LEP individuals, and the primary language spoken, for each county in the state of Kansas. Since KHRC and KDC programs may be implemented throughout the state, looking at data at the county level provides detailed information on the top three languages spoken by LEP individuals, and identifies if the number exceeds 1,000 persons or 5% of the county's overall population.

Table 2 shows that LEP individuals who speak Spanish are more likely to be encountered in the following counties: Douglas, Edwards, Finney, Ford, Grant, Greeley, Hamilton, Harvey, Haskell, Johnson, Kearny, Lyon, Meade, Morton, Reno, Riley, Saline, Scott, Sedgwick, Seward, Shawnee, Stafford, Stanton, Stevens, Wichita, and Wyandotte. There are a total of 1,442 LEP individuals who speak Chinese residing in Riley county, per the ACS estimates.

Factor 2: The Frequency with which LEP Individuals Encounter Programs

To measure the frequency of LEP individual contact with programs and services, a survey was conducted of subrecipients and service provider partner agencies. There were a total of 27 service/provider agencies that participated in the survey, which represented a variety of organizations that serve the community, including housing providers, local governments, economic development entities, homeless service providers, and other public services. The responses provide the following information about the nature and frequency of encounters between agencies and LEP individuals:

- Encounters may be via in-person, telephone, email, or paper correspondence.
- Agency staff are aware of clusters of LEP and non-English speaking residents in their service area.
- LEP persons in their service area may qualify for programs and services.

- The estimated number of total LEP individuals that use or receive services each year was more often limited to 1-5 persons; however, 20% of the agencies surveyed estimated beneficiaries to be more than 15 per year.
- The number of LEP individuals attempting to access services each month was estimated to be between 1-5 for 75% of the agencies surveyed, while 18% estimated between 6-10 per month, and 6% of the responses estimated 21 or more encounters per month.
- Of the agencies surveyed, LEP individuals whose primary language is Spanish were most likely to encounter programs or services.

Of the 27 agencies that responded to the survey, just over 52% have marketed programs or services to non-English speaking residents, demonstrating the need for language assistance to implement programs that reach the whole community.

The survey results confirm that LEP individuals most likely to encounter programs speak Spanish (82.35% per the survey response) as their primary language, and the feedback from providers is consistent with the ACS data. For survey responses which reported the language most frequently encountered, all 13 responses reported Spanish or a specific dialect of that primary language.

Factor 3: The Nature and Importance of the Programs

The programs funded through KHRC and KDC are primarily housing, community development, and homelessness services, which are designed to benefit low- and moderate-income individuals and families. The nature and importance of these programs are substantial, as they often provide essential services that directly impact the ability to secure housing, maintain financial stability, and improve quality of life.

The importance of access to these programs cannot be overstated, as LEP individuals potentially face additional barriers, such as having a limited knowledge of the application process and rights associated with programs. The ability to accurately and effectively communicate with program staff can positively impact the following:

- Access to housing
- Preventing homelessness
- Fair housing rights
- Financial assistance and homeownership
- Access to supportive services
- Public participation and community development
- Disaster recovery and resilience

KHRC and KDC must ensure that all persons, including LEP individuals, have meaningful access to HUD or other federally funded programs, activities, and services.

Factor 4: The Resources Available to KHRC, KDC, and Subrecipients and Costs

KHRC and KDC acknowledges that resources available for HUD-funded programs are limited and are usually capped at a percentage of the total allocation for each grant. Contingent upon the

availability of funding, KHRC and KDC will utilize funds set aside for administration activities to provide LEP language assistance.

The costs associated with providing the language assistance services can be expected to vary depending on the type of service and the availability of in-house qualified interpreters and translators. It will be most cost effective to utilize bilingual staff to provide LEP language assistance. Other cost effective approaches to LEP services include using already translated written materials that may be available on the HUD website. When appropriate, free translation websites may be utilized to translate written materials with a quality review by in-house bilingual staff before releasing materials to the public. The highest cost language services for contracted interpretation and translation services will be provided when necessary. A list of current language services providers is included in Appendix A of this document; however, the procurement of contracts for translation services must follow the requirements for federally funded programs based upon the estimated cost. For cost and time efficiencies, KHRC and KDC may complete a competitive bid process to procure a qualified contractor to provide language assistance services.

Safe Harbor Guidelines

HUD outlines "safe harbor" guidelines in 72 FR 2732, which provides the LEP population thresholds to demonstrate compliance with LEP requirements. See Table 3 below.

Table 3: HUD's LEP Safe Harbor Guidelines				
1,000+ of the eligible population in the	Translate vital documents			
service area, or among current beneficiaries				
> 5% of the eligible population or	Translate vital documents			
beneficiaries, and 50+ in number				
> 5% of the eligible population or	Translate written notice of right to receive free			
beneficiaries, and 50 or less in number	oral interpretation of documents			
5% or less of the eligible population or	No written translation is requirements			
beneficiaries, and less than 1,000 in number				

The KHRC and KDC will use the safe harbor guidelines when assessing the need to translate vital documents.

Appendix A: Interpretation Services

ALTA Language Services

https://www.altalang.com/get-started/interpretation/opi/ 404.920.3860 / 888.302.4455 interpretation@altalang.com

LanguageLine Solutions

https://www.languageline.com/ 800.752.6096 customercare@languageline.com

WorldWide Interpreters*

https://www.worldwideinterpreters.com/interpretation/phone-interpreters/ 866.967.5313 support@e-wwi.com

The ACS data for 2018-2022 5-Year Estimate provides the information for Table 2 below and indicates up to the top 3 LEP populations of the service area, divided by county geographical boundary. Counties may have data for only one or two LEP populations based on ACS data estimates.

Table 2: TOP 3 LEP Populations, by County

Primary Language Spoken	Number who speak English	Percentage who speak
Filliary Language Spoken	less than very well	English less than very well
	Allen County	Linguish less than very wett
German	16	0.13%
Chinese	10	0.08%
Spanish	4	0.03%
Spanish		0.03%
Other West Germanic	Anderson County 42	0.57%
	7	0.09%
Spanish		
German	2 Atabiaan Qauntu	0.02%
On entitle	Atchison County	0.740/
Spanish	116	0.74%
Japanese	10	0.06%
Greek	7	0.04%
	Barber County	
German	24	0.55%
Chinese	20	0.45%
Spanish	8	0.18%
	Barton County	
Spanish	949	3.71%
German	62	0.24%
Japanese	10	0.04%
	Bourbon County	
Spanish	25	0.18%
Koren	17	0.12%
Tagalog	15	0.11%
	Brown County	
Vietnamese	28	0.30%
Spanish	7	0.08%
Chinese	3	0.03%
	Butler County	
Spanish	363	0.58%
German	64	0.10%
Vietnamese	37	0.06%
	Chase County	
Spanish	36	1.39%
	Chautauqua County	
Spanish	7	0.21%
	Cherokee County	
Spanish	113	0.57%
Mon-Khmer, Cambodian	9	0.05%
Japanese	8	0.04%
	Cheyenne County	
Spanish	53	2.07%
ораннын	55	2.0770

Other Pacific Island languages	5	0.20%
Tagalog	3	0.12%
	Clark County	0.1270
Spanish	62	3.03%
German	4	0.20%
Other Pacific Island languages	1	0.05%
	Clay County	
Chinese	14	0.18%
Spanish	12	0.15%
German	5	0.06%
	Cloud County	
Spanish	42	0.48%
Italian	36	0.41%
German	10	0.11%
German	Coffey County	0.1190
Spanish	51	0.63%
German	4	0.05%
Korean	4	0.05%
Korean		0.03%
Chariah	Comanche County 25	1.32%
Spanish		1.32%
Chariah	Cowley County	2.020/
Spanish	684	2.03%
Laotian	162	0.48%
Chinese	30	0.09%
	Crawford County	1.000/
Spanish	489	1.32%
Arabic	156	0.42%
Other Asian languages	130	0.35%
	Decatur County	
Spanish	12	0.44%
German	4	0.15%
	Dickinson County	
Spanish	128	0.70%
German	14	0.08%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	4	0.02%
	Doniphan County	
Chinese	11	0.15%
Spanish	4	0.05%
Portuguese or Portuguese	4	0.05%
Creole		
	Douglas County	
Spanish	<mark>1,089</mark>	1.00%
Chinese	<mark>1,031</mark>	0.95%
Other Asian languages	291	0.27%
	Edwards County	
Spanish	274	<mark>9.73%</mark>

German	6	0.21%
Polish	4	0.14%
	Elk County	
German	38	1.48%
	Ellis County	
Spanish	251	0.93%
Chinese	120	0.44%
German	77	0.28%
	Ellsworth County	0.2070
Spanish	37	0.61%
Korean	12	0.20%
Arabic	10	0.16%
Alabic		0.18%
Oneniah	Finney County	
Spanish	<mark>5,213</mark>	15.51%
Other Asian languages	608	1.81%
Vietnamese	348	1.04%
	Ford County	
Spanish	<mark>5,689</mark>	18.26%
Vietnamese	171	0.55%
African languages	74	0.24%
	Franklin County	
Spanish	208	0.86%
Other Slavic languages	18	0.07%
Japanese	10	0.04%
	Geary County	
Spanish	779	2.40%
Korean	121	0.37%
Other Pacific Island languages	118	0.36%
	Gove County	
Tagalog	13	0.51%
Spanish	9	0.35%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	2	0.08%
	Graham County	
Tagalog	25	1.02%
Japanese	3	0.12%
	Grant County	
Spanish	1,384	<mark>19.37%</mark>
German	42	0.59%
Portuguese or Portuguese	11	0.15%
Creole		
	Gray County	
Spanish	204	3.66%
German	54	0.97%
	Greeley County	
Spanish	64	5.54%
	2	0.17%
Tagalog	۷	0.1770

	Greenwood County	
Spanish	20	0.33%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	3	0.05%
	Hamilton County	0.0070
Spanish	370	15.47%
opanish	Harper County	13.4770
Spanish	102	1.87%
Other Indo-European	2	0.04%
-	Z	0.04%
languages Other Pacific Island languages	2	0.04%
Other Pacific Island languages		0.04%
Chariah	Harvey County	2.50%
Spanish	<mark>1,138</mark>	3.50%
Japanese	54	0.17%
Vietnamese	36	0.11%
	Haskell County	
Spanish	907	23.65%
German	207	<mark>5.40%</mark>
Other West Germanic	17	0.44%
languages		
	Hodgeman County	
Spanish	24	1.33%
Tagalog	11	0.61%
Russian	2	0.11%
	Jackson County	
Spanish	42	0.33%
Tagalog	24	0.19%
Vietnamese	10	0.08%
	Jefferson County	
Spanish	57	0.32%
German	3	0.02%
	Jewell County	
Spanish	16	0.55%
German	5	0.17%
	Johnson County	
Spanish	10,975	2.08%
Chinese	1,711	0.32%
Other Asian languages	867	0.16%
	Kearny County	
Spanish	358	<mark>9.85%</mark>
German	17	0.05%
Thai	11	0.30%
	Kingman County	
Spanish	65	0.88%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	3	0.04%
	Kiowa County	
Spanish	24	1.00%
opanish	27	1.0070

German	13	0.54%
Tagalog	3	0.13%
	Labette County	
Spanish	97	0.49%
German	89	0.45%
Chinese	13	0.07%
	Lane County	
Spanish	4	0.25%
Italian	3	0.19%
	Leavenworth County	
Spanish	793	1.09%
Korean	189	0.26%
Chinese	182	0.25%
Chinese	Lincoln County	0.2370
Spanish	25	0.85%
Spanish German	3	0.85%
German		0.10%
Russian	Linn County	0.11%
Russian	-	0.11%
Casariah	Logan County	
Spanish	20	NA
NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA
	Lyon County	
Spanish	1,941	<mark>6.20%</mark>
Arabic	160	0.51%
Chinese	128	0.41%
	McPherson County	
Spanish	124	0.45%
German	47	0.17%
Chinese	39	0.14%
	Marion County	
Spanish	88	0.76%
German	20	0.17%
Chinese	8	0.69%
	Marshall County	
German	26	0.28%
Spanish	15	0.16%
	Meade County	
Spanish	225	<mark>5.51%</mark>
German	101	2.47%
Tagalog	15	0.38%
	Miami County	
Spanish	93	0.30%
African languages	26	0.08%
Italian	11	0.04%
	Mitchell County	

Spanish	14	0.23%
Other Asian languages	13	0.22%
Russian	6	0.10%
Russian	Montgomery County	0.10%
Spanish	448	1.40%
-	35	0.11%
Other Indic languages Chinese	21	
Chinese		0.07%
Oneniah	Morris County	0.070/
Spanish	20	0.37%
Chinese	7	0.13%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	5	0.09%
	Morton County	
Spanish	200	6.82%
German	32	1.09%
	Nemaha County	
Spanish	26	0.28%
German	11	0.12%
Korean	8	0.08%
	Neosho County	
Spanish	214	1.40%
Other Indo-European	30	0.20%
languages		
German	8	0.05%
	Ness County	
Spanish	100	3.47%
German	2	0.07%
	Next and Occurrents	
	Norton County	
Spanish	67	1.26%
Spanish Laotian		1.26% 0.21%
	67	
Laotian	67 11	0.21%
Laotian	67 11 2	0.21%
Laotian German	67 11 2 Osage County	0.21% 0.04%
Laotian German Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147	0.21% 0.04% 0.97%
Laotian German Spanish Thai	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07%
Laotian German Spanish Thai	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish German	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17% 0.11%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4 Ottawa County 29	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish German Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4 Ottawa County 29 Pawnee County	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17% 0.11% 0.51%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish German	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4 Ottawa County 29 Pawnee County 202	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17% 0.11%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish German Spanish Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4 Ottawa County 29 Pawnee County 202 Phillips County	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17% 0.11% 0.51% 3.07%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish German Spanish Spanish Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4 Ottawa County 29 Pawnee County 202 Phillips County 16	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17% 0.11% 0.51% 0.51% 0.31%
Laotian German Spanish Thai Navajo Tagalog Spanish German Spanish Spanish	67 11 2 Osage County 147 10 3 Osborne County 9 6 4 Ottawa County 29 Pawnee County 202 Phillips County	0.21% 0.04% 0.97% 0.07% 0.02% 0.25% 0.17% 0.11% 0.51% 3.07%

	Pottawatomie County	
Spanish	272	1.31%
Chinese	83	0.40%
Other Slavic languages	8	0.04%
	Pratt County	0:04%
Spanish	209	2.30%
Spanish		
Korean	1	0.01%
	Rawlins County	4.070/
Spanish	26	1.07%
Portuguese or Portuguese	4	0.16%
Creole		
Vietnamese	3	0.12%
	Reno County	
Spanish	<mark>1,067</mark>	1.77%
Laotian	91	0.15%
German	77	0.13%
	Republic County	
Spanish	17	0.37%
Other Pacific Island languages	5	0.11%
Other Slavic languages	4	0.09%
	Rice County	
Spanish	249	2.67%
Other Asian languages	10	0.11%
Tagalog	10	0.11%
	Riley County	
Chinese	<mark>1,442</mark>	2.06%
Spanish	851	1.22%
Korean	310	0.44%
	Rooks County	
Laotian	13	0.27%
Spanish	10	0.21%
German	5	0.10%
	Rush County	
German	14	0.46%
Spanish	9	0.30%
Other Slavic languages	2	0.07%
	Russell County	
German	42	0.64%
Spanish	30	0.46%
· ·	Saline County	
Spanish:	1,164	2.24%
Vietnamese	271	0.52%
Laotian	75	0.14%
	Scott County	
Spanish	244	5.29%
Other Asian languages	22	0.48%
Other Asian tanguages	<i>22</i>	0.4070

	Sedgwick County	
Spanish	15,396	3.29%
Vietnamese	5,479	1.17%
Chinese	592	0.13%
	Seward County	
Spanish	5,539	26.37%
Vietnamese	257	1.22%
African languages	254	1.22%
Ancantaliguages	Shawnee County	1.2170
Spanish	4,409	2.64%
Tagalog	179	0.11%
Other Indic languages	106	0.06%
		0.06%
Creatish	Sheridan County	0.049/
Spanish	49	2.04%
	Sherman County	0.510/
Spanish	18	0.51%
	Smith County	
Spanish	2	0.06%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	2	0.06%
	Stafford County	
Spanish	223	<mark>5.44%</mark>
Russian	4	0.10%
Tagalog	2	0.05%
	Stanton County	
Spanish	268) <mark>13.74%</mark>
	Stevens County	
Spanish	779	<mark>14.69%</mark>
German	18	0.34%
	Sumner County	
Spanish	84	0.38%
Arabic	6	0.03%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	5	0.02%
	Thomas County	
Spanish	59	0.80%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	4	0.05%
	Trego County	
German	8	0.29%
Vietnamese	3	0.11%
African languages	1	0.04%
	Wabaunsee County	
Spanish	34	0.52%
Hmong	2	0.03%
German	1	0.02%
	Wallace County	
Spanish	19	1.27%
	Washington County	1.27/0
	washington county	

Spanish	83	1.55%
German	7	13%
French (incl. Patois, Cajun)	6	0.11%
	Wichita County 2,042	
Spanish	216	<mark>10.58%</mark>
German	10	0.49%
	Wilson County	
Spanish	64	0.75%
Chinese	27	0.32%
German	7	0.08%
	Woodson County	
Spanish	11	0.36%
	Wyandotte County	
Spanish	<mark>14,862</mark>	<mark>10.09%</mark>
Other Asian languages*	1,087	0.74%
Chinese	603	0.41%

*Limitations on the data reported in the 2018-2022 ACS Report B16001 does not provide detail of the languages included in "Other Asian languages".